Electrons, Ions and Nuclei in Extremely Intense Laser Pulses



Christoph H. Keitel, Max-Planck-Institute for Nuclear Physics

involved key group members in presented projects: A. Di Piazza, J. Evers, Z Harman, K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, M. Klaiber, A Palffy plus former members C Müller, B King and A Ipp

Outline

Laser-Electron Interaction: Quantum Effects, Spin-induced Dynamics, Laserenhanced Fluctuations & Pair Creation, Laser Colliders

Laser-Ion Interaction: Relativistic Ionisation, Picture of Relatistic Tunneling, Resonant Interaction & Ion Acceleration

Laser-Nuclei Interaction: Nuclear Quantum Optics, Nuclear Population Transfer, Laser-assisted Alpha Decay and Recollisions

Current high-power laser technology

Optical laser technology (ħ ∟=1 eV)	Energy (J)	Pulse duration (fs)	Spot radius (m)	Intensity (W/cm ²)
State-of-art (Yanovsky et al. (2008))	10	30	1	2 10 ²²
Soon (Polaris, Astra-Gemini, Phelix, etc…)	10-100	10-100	1	10 ²² -10 ²³
Soon (PFS)	5	5	1	10 ²² -10 ²³
Vulcan 10 PW(CLF)	300	30	1	10 ²³
Near future (2020) (ELI, HiPER)	10 ⁴	10	1	10 ²⁴ -10 ²⁶

GeV electron acceleration (Leemans et al 2006)

•

۲

- Laser induced pair creation demonstrated Burke et al 1996
- High-energy processes feasible

Electrons: Dirac dynamics in strong laser pulses

Example: electron double scattering via 2D solution of Dirac equation



- Drift in laser-propagation direction via magnetic field component - problem for recollisions
- Enhanced quantum spreading with increased laser intensity & quantum interference at scattering processes
- Dirac propgation time consuming enhanced via adaptive grids
- Quantum features in various situations of relevance

Multiphoton Compton scattering

• Multiphoton Compton scattering is one of the most fundamental processes in electrodynamics



the electron exchanges many photons with the laser field and emits a high-energy photon

the quantum photon-energy spectrum with sharp cut-off reduces to the classical one at ~:1 (see also Seipt and Kaempfer, PRA 2011, Boca and Oprea, Phys Scr. 2011)

Quantum corrections to the dressed mass have been found (see, e.g., Ritus, 1985; Meuren and Di Piazza PRI_ 2011)

$$m^{*2} = m^2 \left(1 + \frac{\xi^2}{2}\right) + \Delta m^2(\chi)$$

 alterations of multiphoton Compton scattering photon spectra by the finite extension of the laser pulse (Heinzl et al., Phys. Rev. A 2010): emission line broadening and appearance of subpeaks

Spin dynamics in the Kapitza-Dirac effect



Time of half Rabi cycle: 1 fs

S. Ahrens, H. Bauke, C.H. Keitel, C. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 043601 (2012).

Spin dynamics in the Kapitza-Dirac effect

Spinflip probability tunable by electron momentum p_E

1

$$P_{flip}$$
 1 $\frac{25 p_E^2}{3k^2}$

Spin degree of freedom mediates diffraction Big differences between Dirac and Klein-Gordon

possible



Reference: Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 043601 (2012).

Laser-enhanced Electron-Positron Vacuum Fluctuations: mediate Light-Light Scattering



Stimulated Photon-Photon Scattering and Matterless Double Slits (new: with focussed pulses) <section-header>

E. Lundstroem et al, PRL 96, 083602 (2006)

B. King et al, Nature Photonics 4, 92 (2010), PRA (2010) & New J. Phys. in press (2012)



Bragg scattering of light in vacuum structured by strong periodic fields

At a fixed total power: 2 times enhancement of the photon scattering probability over the stimulated photon-photon scattering

G. Yu. Kryuchkyan & K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, PRL 107, 053604 (2011)

Pair production in strong laser pulses Historical Remark: SLAC Experiment The first laboratory evidence of multiphoton pair production.



D. Burke et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1626 (1997)

- 3.6×10¹⁸ W/cm² optical laser (2.35 eV)
- Electron accelerated to 46.6 GeV
- Energy threshold reached (in center of inertial frame)

Theory: combined treatment of two processes

direct: $e + N\omega \rightarrow e' + e^+e^-$

Compton back scattering & Multiphoton Breit-Wheeler $e + \omega \rightarrow e' + \gamma$ $\gamma + N\omega \rightarrow e^+e^-$

Separate Direct and Two-Step Processes



Direct process and two-step process can be separated by kinematic requirements at VUV intensities $10^{13} W / cm^2$ with a 17.5 GeV electron from DESY beamline

- Substantial pair production rate in various interaction regimes
- Novel usage of DESY beamline (17.5 GeV) for pair production
- The future of pair production: alloptical setup



Huayu Hu, Carsten Müller, C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 080401 (2010)

Electron-positron pair production: Mechanisms

Three main classes of pair-production processes have been investigated, including laser elds

a) \rightarrow \leftarrow b) \rightarrow \leftarrow c) \rightarrow \leftarrow

eg Schützhold et al PRL 2009 - Hu et al PRL 2010 - Ruf et al PRL 2009

perturbative multiphoton regime at _____¿1 and non-perturbative tunneling regime at ____À1 – see also Di Piazza et al, RMP 84, 1177 (2012)

0	Parameter (head-on collision)	Rate scaling (tunneling)
Laser-photon collision (a))	{=(?/∓)(,/,/,/,)	»∓{ ^{3/2} exp({8/3{)
Laser-charge collision (b))	`=(2E/∓)(.₅<, /.₅<,)	» ∓(Z <u>J</u>)²exp({3⁰.⁵2/`)
Laser-laser collision (c))]]=xr/_xr	»∓]]²exp({⌒/]])

Streaking at high energies with electrons and positrons (SHEEP)

intense pulse (IP) $\land \land \land \land$



Characterization of ultra-short pulses of y-rays of GeV energies employing streaking method based on strong field pair production: from femtosecond time-scale up to zeptoseconds.

		High energy TP			Low energy TP	
		Femto-	Atto-	Zeptosecond	Atto-	Zeptosecond
IP	$egin{aligned} & \omega_i [\mathrm{eV}] \ & I_i [\mathrm{W/cm^2}] \ & \xi_i \ & \mathcal{N}_i \end{aligned}$	$1 \\ 10^{20} \\ 10 \\ \sim 3$	$1 \\ 10^{20} \\ 10 \\ \sim 3$	$1 \\ 10^{20} \\ 10 \\ \sim 3$	$1000 \\ 10^{24} \\ 1 \\ \sim 30$	$1000 \\ 10^{24} \\ 1 \\ \sim 30$
SP	$\omega_s [eV]$ $I_s [W/cm^2]$ ξ_s	1 10 ¹⁸ 1	100 10 ²² 1	$1000 \\ 10^{24} \\ 1$	100 10 ²⁰ 0.1	1000 10 ²² 0.1
TP	$\omega_{t} [GeV] $ $\tau_{t} [as]$	$> 30 \\ 10^2 - 10^3$	$> 30 \\ 1 - 10$	$> 30 \\ 0.1 - 1$	$> 0.3 \\ 1 - 10$	$> 0.3 \\ 0.1 - 1$

TABLE I: SHEEP parameters for different combinations of intense laser sources. $\Delta \omega_t / \omega_t \lesssim 0.1$, and $N/S = 10^{-2}$ are assumed. $(N_{e^+e^-}/N_t)|_{\omega_t = \omega_{tmin}} \sim 10^{-2}$ in all cases. The XUV laser parameters can be realized in the ELI project [28].

A. Ipp, J. Evers, C. H. Keitel, and K. Z. Hatsagortsyan, Phys. Lett B 702, 383 (2011)

Particle Physics with Strong Lasers

Positronium dynamics in an intense laser field:





muon production ($m c^2 = 106 \text{ MeV}$)



Particle reactions by laser-driven e^+e^- collisions energetic threshold for muon: $2eA \ge 2Mc^2$

pion production ($m c^2 = 140 \text{ MeV}$)

B. Henrich et al. PRL 93, 013601 (2004) & K. 2 Hatsagortsyan et al., EPL (2006), Obserservation of GeV electrons: W. Leemans et al., Nat. Phys. 2, 696 (2006); Small muon rates: C. Müller et al., Phys. Lett. B 669, 209 (2008);

Also Pion Production via Proton Laser Collision: A Dadi & C Müller, Phys Lett B 697, 142(2011)

Ionic & Nuclear Laser Physics MeV Acceleration and Nuclear Physics via Laser-Plasma Interaction





photonuclear neutrons e.g. by G. Pretzler et al., PRE 58, 1165 (1998), T. Ditmire et al Nature (1999), K. Ledingham et al., PRL 2000, N. Izuma PRE (2002), G Grillon et al PRL (2002)

quasi-monoenergetic protons for cancer therapy: H. Schwoerer, S. Pfotenhauer, O. Jäckel, K. Amthor, W. Ziegler, R, Sauerbrey, K. Ledingham, T. Esirkepov, Nature 439, 445 (2006)

ultra-fast proton sources: Peter V Nickles ... W. Sandner ... O. Willi.., JOSA B 25 (2008) & ion acceleration T. Sokollok, ... W. Sandner, ..O. Willi.., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 135003 (2009)

IONS: Ionisation & Characterising intense pulses with highly charged ions



Directions and yields of ionisation are characteristic for laser intensity and ionic charge => Sensitive means of measuring extremely intense laser intensities H G Hetzheim and C H Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 083003 (2009)



Ionization fraction for several different hydrogen-like ions Z as a function of the maximal laser intensity for singlecycle square-shaped laser pulse; wavelength 1054 nm.



The solid line defines the most sensitively measured ionization fraction (left axis), whereas the dashed line shows the corresponding laser intensity (right axis) as a function of the respective optimal ionic charge Z.

Laser-induced relativistic Tunneling



Tunnel ionisation yield:
Asymptotic momentum distribution:
> messurable momentum shift (Ip/c) due to relativistic tunneling

Tunnel-exit distribution:
 > Only magnetic-dipole (blue) and mass-correction (red) relevant
 > momentum shift (Ip/c) compared to the n-r distribution (green)

Relativistic Tunneling picture:
 ➢ non-relativistic potential
 ➢ position-dependent energy-levels due to the laser magnetic field

M. Klaiber, E. Yakoboylu, H. Bauke, K Z Hatsagortsyan, C H Keitel, arXiv 1205,2004 (2012)



lwg₁₀ |Ψ|²

Numerical Dirac Simulation:
> ion with Z=90 in the tunneling regime
> momentum shift and coordinate drift at the tunneling exit yielding a tunneling time

Analytical quantum-mechanical model (black):
➤maximum of the wavefunction shifted compared to the quasi-classical description (grey)
➤only visible near the tunneling-exit

Reason:

 Quasi-classical: instantaneous tunneling, no drift
 quantum-mechanical: non-zero tunneling time, Lorentzforce induced drift under the barrier
 but: only messurable near the tunneling-exit

Ion acceleration: Intense high-quality medical ion beams via laser fields



(1) abundant ion (2) post-acceleration by a powerful generation
 in a laser-plasma interaction

e.g. simulation results for a tightly focused 40 PW laser pulse:

kinetic energy: 233 MeV +/- 1% number of ions: 10⁶/shot

in the range of **medical applicability**: laser acceleration may be an economic future alternative to conventional accelerators (such as e.g. the HIT facility of the Heidelberg University Hospital)



B. J. Galow, Z. Harman, and C. H. Keitel, Opt. Express 18, 25950 (2010)

Dense monoenergetic proton beams from chirped laser-plasma interaction

- Introduce linear frequency chirp: $f = f_0 + b_0 (t - z/c)$
- Relativistic proton energies already at moderate laser intensities of 10²¹ W/cm²
- Dense monoenergetic proton beams (1 % energy spread and 10⁷ particles per bunch)
- Multi-GeV proton beams at future facilities like ELI, HiPER
- Analytical model agrees with 2D-PIC calculations



Figure: Snap-shots (a) of the electron and proton density distribution during laser-plasma interaction and (b) of the proton density distribution after laser-plasma interaction.

B Galow, Y I Salamin, T Liseykina, Z Harman, C H Keitel, PRL 107, 185002 (2011) Y I Salamin et al, PRA 85, 063831 (2012) & J X Li et al, PRA 85, 063832 (2012)

Highly charged ions in high-frequency light (XFEL or via ELI): population transfer and application in high-precision metrology

Highly char (HCI): rela Atomic syste a strong nucl

Transition data – transition energies and matrix elements - for such ions are required for the modeling of astrophysical or thermonuclear fusion plasmas

Resonance fluorescene: excitation by a resonant laser field (XFEL) + spontaneous decay

Line widths can be largely decreased by an additional optical driving: a new tool to measure the **transition matrix elements** of HCI



Fluorescence photon spectrum for the $2s-2p_{3/2}$ transition in lithiumlike ²⁰⁹Bi (Z=83). Red dashed line: the broad spectrum with x-ray driving between levels 1 and 3 (panel a). Blue line: the narrowed spectrum when an optical laser driving between the hyperfine-split levels 1 and 2 is switched on in addition (panel b)

O. Postavaru, Z. Harman, and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 033001 (2011)

Nuclear Quantum Optics with XFEL: Rabi flopping

Beschleunigte Elektronen accelerated electrons



Elektronenauffänge electron dump

Magnete

- detection e.g. via scattered light, state-selective measurements
- potential application: model-free determination of nuclear parameters

example nuclei:

nucleus	transition	ΔE [keV]	μ [<i>e</i> fm]	$\tau(g)$	$\tau(e)$ [ps]
¹⁵³ Sm	$3/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$	35.8	>0.75 ⁽¹⁾	47 h	<100
¹⁸¹ Ta	$9/2^- \rightarrow 7/2^+$	6.2	$0.04^{(1)}$	stable	$6 \cdot 10^{6}$
²²⁵ Ac	$3/2^+ \rightarrow 3/2^-$	40.1	$0.24^{(1)}$	10.0 d	720
²²³ Ra	$3/2^- \rightarrow 3/2^+$	50.1	0.12	11.435 d	730
²²⁷ Th	$3/2^- \rightarrow 1/2^+$	37.9	(2)	18.68 d	(2)
²³¹ Th	$5/2^- \rightarrow 5/2^+$	186	0.017	25.52 h	1030



Population inversion in ²²³Ra for laser parameters as in the DESY TESLA technical design report supplement

T. Bürvenich, J. Evers and C. H. Keitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 142501 (2006) See also Adriana Palffy et al., Phys. Rev C (2007)



Nuclear tunneling and recollisions in laser-assisted a decay



Non-relativistic process Semi-classical parameter regime



H Castaneda Cortes, C Müller, C H Keitel, A Palffy, arXiv 1207.2395 (2012)



 Laser-electron interaction: Relativistic Quantum Dynamics, Kapitza-Dirac Scattering, Pair creation & Laser Colliders
 Laser-ion interaction: Relativistic Ionisation and Tunneling, Resonant Interaction & QED Metrology, Ion Acceleration
 Laser-nuclei interaction: Nuclear Excitation & Coherent Population transfer, Laser-assisted alpha decay&recollisions



